

Alexander Klyachko, Barış Öztop, Alexander S. Shumovsky
Faculty of Science, Bilkent University, Bilkent, Ankara, 06800, Turkey

Amount of entanglement carried by a quantum bipartite state is usually evaluated in terms of concurrence (see Ref. 1). We give a physical interpretation of concurrence that reveals a way of its direct measurement and discuss possible generalizations.

Entanglement, which has been considered for decades in the context of fundamentals of quantum mechanics, turns now more and more into a key tool of practical realization of quantum information technologies. The quantum key distribution² for completely secured communications should be mentioned here first of all (e.g., see Ref. 3).

The design and manufacturing of generators of entangled states require a control of amount of entanglement carried by the states. For pure state ψ of bipartite systems $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ of format $n \times n$ ($n = \dim \mathcal{H}_{A,B}$; $n = 2$ corresponds to qubits, $n = 3$ corresponds to qutrits, etc.), this quantity is given by the *concurrence*

$$C(\psi) = \sqrt{\nu[1 - \text{Tr}(\rho_r^2)]}, \quad (1)$$

that has been proposed in Ref. 1. Here ρ_r denotes the reduced (single-party) density matrix, corresponding to the state ψ , and we use the normalization factor $\nu = \frac{n}{n-1}$, to reduce the concurrence to the interval $[0, 1]$. See Ref. 4 for further discussion.

The aim of this note is to give a natural physical interpretation of the concurrence and thus to show a way of direct measurement of the amount of bipartite entanglement in terms of mean values of certain physical quantities. Our approach also suggests a general definition of the concurrence for multipartite systems discussed below.

It has been shown in Ref. 7 that entanglement like coherence and squeezing can be associated with quantum fluctuations or quantum uncertainties, which are minimal for coherent (separable) states and maximal for completely entangled states. The fluctuations are measured by the *total variance* defined by equation

$$V(\psi) = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \psi | X_{\alpha}^2 | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | X_{\alpha} | \psi \rangle^2, \quad (2)$$

where the sum is extended over orthonormal basis X_{α} of Lie algebra of local observables. The *basic observables* X_{α} act on one of the components: $X_{\alpha} = X_i^A$ or $X_{\alpha} = X_j^B$, where X_i^A and X_j^B are orthonormal bases in the space of traceless Hermitian operators in \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B respectively. It is important to realize that the total variance is independent of the choice of the basic observables X_{α} .

The total uncertainty of all basic observables attains its maximal value in the case of completely entangled states (like Bell states of two qubits).

The first sum in the right-hand side of Eq. (2) is independent of the state ψ . In fact, the sum

$$C = \sum_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}^2$$

known as *Casimir operator*⁸, acts as a multiplication by a scalar C_{AB} (equal to 6 for two qubits, for example). Thus,

$$V(\psi) = C_{AB} - \sum_i \langle \psi | X_i | \psi \rangle^2, \quad (3)$$

so that the measurement of the total uncertainty is reduced to the measurement of mean values of basic observables in the right-hand side of Eq. (3). In the case of complete entanglement

$$\langle \psi | X_{\alpha} | \psi \rangle = 0$$

for all α (see Ref. 7), so that the total uncertainty (3) achieves its maximum.

We now show that concurrence (1) can be equivalently expressed in terms of the total uncertainty (3) in the case of bipartite systems. Consider first the case of two qubits with the state

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\ell, \ell'=0}^1 \psi_{\ell\ell'} |\ell, \ell'\rangle, \quad \sum_{\ell, \ell'=0}^1 |\psi_{\ell\ell'}|^2 = 1, \quad (4)$$

where $|\ell, \ell'\rangle \equiv |\ell\rangle \otimes |\ell'\rangle$ denotes a composite state. It can be easily seen that the concurrence (1) is then cast to the form

$$\begin{aligned} C(\psi) &= 2|\psi_{00}\psi_{11} - \psi_{01}\psi_{10}| \\ &= 2[|\psi_{00}|^2|\psi_{11}|^2 + |\psi_{01}|^2|\psi_{10}|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\text{Re}(\psi_{00}\psi_{11}\psi_{01}^*\psi_{10}^*)]^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

On the other hand using Pauli operators

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x &= |0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0|, \\ \sigma_y &= -i(|0\rangle\langle 1| - |1\rangle\langle 0|), \\ \sigma_z &= |0\rangle\langle 0| - |1\rangle\langle 1| \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

as the basic local observables X_i^A and X_j^B one gets

$$\begin{aligned} V(\psi) &= 4 + 4[|\psi_{00}|^2|\psi_{11}|^2 + |\psi_{01}|^2|\psi_{10}|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\text{Re}(\psi_{00}\psi_{11}\psi_{01}^*\psi_{10}^*)]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Comparing now Eqs. (5) and (7) and taking into account that $V_{max} = 6$ and $V_{min} = 4$ in the case of completely entangled and unentangled states of two qubits, respectively, we get

$$C(\psi) = \sqrt{\frac{V(\psi) - V_{min}}{V_{max} - V_{min}}} \quad (8)$$

in the case of the general two-qubit state (4). Thus, the amount of entanglement carried by a pure two-qubit state can be determined by measurement of mean values of the basic observables given by Pauli operators (6). These observables can be directly measured in experiments, say by the Stern-Gerlach apparatus in the case of spins, or by means of polarizers in the case of photons, etc.⁹.

As a matter of fact, this expression (8) is equivalent to (1) for any bipartite system¹⁰. For example, in the representation of basic observables for qutrits ($n = 3$) given in Ref. 5, the maximal and minimal values of total uncertainty in bipartite system are $V_{max} = 32/3$ and $V_{min} = 8$, respectively. A possible realization of qutrits is provided by biphotons¹¹.

Eq. (8) allows us to interpret concurrence (1) as a square root of the normalized total uncertainty of basic observables, specifying the system. In view of Eq. (3), the latter can be determined in terms of measurement of expectation values of the basic observables $\langle\psi|X_\alpha|\psi\rangle$. In other words, Eq. (8) provides an *operational* definition of measure of bipartite entanglement. Note also that equation (8) allows to define the concurrence for any multipartite system.

Our consideration so far have applied to the pure bipartite states. In connection with mixed states, we now note that the uncertainty of an observable X_i can be interpreted as a specific Wigner-Yanase “quantum information” about a state ψ extracted from the macroscopic measurement of X_i in this state¹². The generalization of Wigner-Yanase “information” on the case of mixed states with the density matrix ρ has the form

$$I_i(\rho) = -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}([X_i, \rho^{1/2}]^2) \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

It can be easily seen that in the case of pure states when $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ the total amount of Wigner-Yanase skew information

$$I(\rho) = \sum_i I_i(\rho) \quad (10)$$

coincides with the total uncertainty (2). The supposition is that Eq. (10) can represent a reasonable estimation from above for the amount of concurrence in the mixed bipartite state¹⁰.

Bariş Öztop would like to acknowledge the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) for financial support.

-
- [1] S. Hill and W.K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 5022 (1997); P. Rungta, V. Bužek, C.M. Caves, M. Hillery, and G. J. Milburn, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 042315 (2001).
 - [2] C.H. Bennett and G. Brassard, in *Proceedings of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Computers, Systems, and Signal Processing* (IEEE, New York, 1984), p. 175; A. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1661 (1991).
 - [3] J. Ouellette, The Industrial Physicist, **10**, 22 (2004)
 - [4] F. Minnert, M. Kuš and A. Buchleitner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** (2004), 167902.
 - [5] C.M. Caves and G.J. Milburn, Optics Commun. **179**, 439 (2000).
 - [6] D. Kaszlikowski, D.K.L. Oi, M. Christandl, K. Chang, A. Ekert, L.C. Kwek, and C.H. Oh, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 012310 (2003); T. Durt T., N. Cerf, N. Gisin, and M. Zukowski, Phys. Rev. A, **67**, 012311, (2003); T. Durt, D. Kaszlikowski, J.-L. Chen, and L.C. Kwek, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 032313 (2004).
 - [7] M.A. Can, A.A. Klyachko, and A.S. Shumovsky, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 02111 (2002); A.A. Klyachko and A.S. Shumovsky, J. Opt. B: Quant. and Semiclass. Opt. **5**, S322 (2003); A.A. Klyachko and A.S. Shumovsky, J. Opt. B: Quant. and Semiclass. Opt. **6**, S29 (2004).
 - [8] A. Bohm, *Quantum mechanics: foundations and applications* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993).
 - [9] M. Nielsen and I. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2000).
 - [10] A.A. Klyachko, B. Öztop, and A.S. Shumovsky, *to be published*.
 - [11] A.V. Burlakov, M.V. Chechova, O.A. Karabutova, D.N. Klyshko, and S.P. Kulik, Phys. Rev. A **60**, R4209 (1999); Yu. I. Bogdanov, M.V. Chekhova, S.P. Kulik, G.A. Maslennikov, A.A. Zhukov, C.H. Oh, and M.K. Tey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 230503 (2004).
 - [12] E.P Wigner, Z. Physik **131**, 101 (1952); E.P. Wigner and M.M. Yanase, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **19**, 910 (1963).